

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2635 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 05.02.2021

The Mandola Cooperative Labour and Construction Society Ltd.& Anr.
.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the
proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash publication of the tender notice dated 08.01.2021 (Annexure P-1) which was issued in an illegal manner by the respondent-authorities for total 18 works within the territory of Municipal Committee, Mahendergarh by violating the notification dated 08.12.2016 (Annexure P-27).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that to redress their grievances, the petitioners would approach the respondent-authorities by filing a representation alongwith a copy of the petition and a copy of the order passed today.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Haryana submits that in case the petitioners does so, the respondent-authorities shall consider and decide the representation of the petitioners within a period of two weeks from the date the petitioners' approaches the respondents authorities.

In view of the statement made by learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondent-authorities to consider and decide the representation to be filed by the petitioners keeping the notification of the Government in mind as well as the order dated 31.05.2018 passed in Civil Writ Petition No. 6654 of 2018, within a period of two weeks from the date the petitioners' approaches the respondent-authorities and thereafter communicate the order to the petitioners.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and therefore, the respondent-authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

05.02.2021
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No