

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1131-2021

Date of decision-05.02.2021

Banka Ram and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 for providing protection to their life and liberty as they are facing threats at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are receiving threats from the private respondents as they have solemnized marriage on 04.01.2021 against their wishes. She has drawn the attention of the Court to the representation dated 01.02.2021 (Annexure P-5) submitted by petitioner to Senior Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat) and contended that no action has been taken by the officer so far. She prays for issuance of necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the private respondents, who are parents/relatives of petitioners are permanent residents of State of Rajasthan. A perusal of the

representation does not contain any particulars regarding the execution of alleged threat by the private respondents much less the mode of threat. The petitioners have only expressed their apprehension that a false case would be lodged against them, therefore, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

05.02.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No