

In virtual Court

CRM-M-3631-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3631-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.06.2021

Mona Rani

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Majithia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0187 dated 24.11.2019 under Sections 406, 420 IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station City Nawanshahar, District SBS Nagar.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 03.02.2020: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of Sandeep Kaur, she came in contact with husband of the petitioner Satpal through one Abhay Jain and husband of the petitioner told her that he can

arrange visa for Canada. On this, the complainant had given an amount of Rs.5.00 lacs as well as her passport to Satpal and his wife (petitioner). Thereafter, on asking of husband of the petitioner, some amount was deposited in the accounts of Idris Ali, Aafiy Zara Ali and Hansen Agnelo Vaz from time to time and promised that the complainant, her husband and children will be sent to Canada by arranging visa. It is further stated in the FIR that the complainant along with her husband went to Kolkata and stayed there for 10-12 days, but later on, came to know that it were all fake promises and even the visa was fake.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in fact, nothing has come against the petitioner that she is involved in the business of travel agency and is not involved in any other case. It is further submitted that in the entire FIR, neither the date, month or year, either of handing over the amount nor the dates with regard to stay in Kolkata are given.

In reply, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ram Pal, has, however, submitted that husband of the petitioner is an agent of SOTC and some other complaints are pending against him in Mansa and Barnala. It is further submitted that allegations against the petitioner and her husband are that on their asking, the complainant has parted away the total amount of Rs.19.50 lacs...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required

for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parvinderjit Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 03.02.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

28.06.2021
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No