

In virtual Court

CRWP-1061-2021

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1061-2021 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 05.02.2021

Butta Khan and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present: Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate for  
Mr. Shivender Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pardhuman Garg, Advocate  
for respondent No.6.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)**

This is 2<sup>nd</sup> petition for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and restrain respondents No.6 & 7 and other relatives of petitioner No.2 from harassing or interfering in the live-in relationship of the petitioners.

First petition i.e. CRWP-786-2021 has been dismissed as withdrawn on 28.01.2021, by passing the following order: -

*“Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioners to avail their alternative remedy, in accordance with law.*

*Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have not married each other, however, they planned to get married and they are living in live-in relationship.

Learned State counsel, assisted by learned counsel for respondent No.6 i.e. father of petitioner No.2 Gursimranjit Kaur, has opposed the prayer on the ground that petitioner No.1 is trying to mislead the police authorities, as he has set up a Nikahnama, which, on verification by ASI Balbir Singh from the Kaji of Masjid, was found to be a fake document.

Learned counsel for respondent No.6-father of petitioner No.2 submits that in fact, an FIR No.12 dated 22.01.2021 under Sections 346/34, Police Station Sadar Khanna, District Khanna has already been registered against petitioner No.1. It is further submitted that petitioner No.2 has recently been engaged to some other person and petitioner No.1, by using threats and coercion on petitioner No.2, has succeeded in filing the repeated petitions.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are not relying upon the aforesaid Nikahnama, submitted by petitioner No.1, which, later on, was found to be fake on verification by the police.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I find that this petition is nothing but a ploy of petitioner No.1 to avoid his arrest in aforesaid FIR No.12.

Considering the fact that it is case of parents of petitioner No.2 that in fact, she was engaged to some other person and later on, petitioner No.1, by

**In virtual Court**

**CRWP-1061-2021**

**-3-**

threatening and blackmailing her, has succeeded in filing this petition, I find no ground to interfere in the present petition.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

However, it will be open for the police to take appropriate action against petitioner No.1, in accordance with law.

**[ ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN ]**  
**JUDGE**

05.02.2021  
*vishnu*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No