

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1014-2021

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 19, 2021

NANCY AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. VIKRAMJEET SINGH, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONERS.

MR. P.S. WALIA, AAG, PUNJAB.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

On 25.2.2021, learned State counsel sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Prem Singh has stated that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded, whereby they have expressed that they do not have any threat or danger as on today.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statements of the petitioners, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

March 19, 2021
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No
Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No