

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(225)

CRM-M-5924-2021

Date of Decision : August 19, 2021

Hargobind Singh @ Gobind @ Gobindi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 152 dated 31.07.2020 registered under Sections 307, 336, 160, 158, 148, 149, 120-B, 188 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Section 51 of the Disaster Management Act, 2005 (Section 201 IPC added later on) at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur.

Order dated 10.02.2021 passed by the Coordinate Bench is as under:-

“Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits, inter alia, that the FIR was lodged on the basis of a secret information to the effect that two rival groups were fighting with each other and were putting the lives of general public at stake. There is, however, no mention of any actual injury being caused to any person. He further submits that co-accused Gagandeep Singh @ Gaggi, who is also named in the FIR, and also another

accused namely Amandeep Singh have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in CRM-M-25358-2020 and CRM-M-31205-2020, respectively, on 26.11.2020.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. B.S. Sewak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, to accept notice on behalf of the State. A copy of the Paperbook be supplied to him.

4. Now, to come up on 30.04.2021.

5. Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

I That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

II That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any Police Officer and;

III That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

Learned State counsel, who has also joined the proceedings through video conference, on instructions from ASI Darshan Singh, Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur, states that in terms of the order of this Court reproduced before, the petitioner has joined the investigation and no further interrogation is required at this stage.

In view of the above, the order dated 10.02.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. She shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

In case at any given point of time hereinafter, it is felt by the investigating agency that petitioner is required for the investigation but is not cooperating, they will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

The petition stands disposed of.

August 19, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No