

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.212

**Civil Revision No.6422 of 2018 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: February 16, 2021**

BABU RAM

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

MARO DEVI

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Anju Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate,
for respondents No.(i) to (iv).

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-10013-CII-2020

Since the main case is listed today, the application has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

CR No.6422 of 2018 (O&M)

Learned counsel for the respondents has drawn the attention of the Court towards affidavit of Som Nath s/o Sohna Ram along with which order dated 31.08.2018 passed by the Executing Court has been annexed and has submitted that by virtue of the said order, the execution petition stands withdrawn as barbered wires fencing had been erected.

Civil Revision No.6422 of 2018 (O&M)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order dated 25.07.2018 passed by the Executing Court is unsustainable in law as LRs of the Decree-holder-Marro Devi were never impleaded.

Be that as it may, since the execution petition stands withdrawn, the revision petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

It is clarified that the impugned order dated 25.07.2018 shall not come in the way of the petitioner, in case, he wants to establish his rights for inheritance of the property of Marro Devi.

February 16, 2021

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No