

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2542-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 17.02.2021

Samir Kumar

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

Panjab University, Chandigarh and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Mohan Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being
conducted in virtual Court)

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition praying for a direction to the respondent-university to treat the PU-MET form as an admission form which was filled up by him for appearing in the entrance examination that was to be conducted by the respondent-university for filling up seats in the M.B.A. course.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he had duly filled up the aforesaid form for participating in the entrance examination. However, subsequently, the respondent-university changed the method of selection and cancelled the entrance examination and thereafter proceeded to publish a notice dated 09.10.2020 calling for applications for making admissions to the M.B.A. course.

It is an admitted and undisputed fact that the petitioner did not apply pursuant to the said notice dated 09.10.2020 issued by the authorities for making admission in the M.B.A. course. The petitioner having failed to do so inspite of having been given several opportunities has now filed this petition praying for a direction to the respondents to consider the form filled up by him at the initial stage for participating in the entrance examination as a form for the purposes of considering his case for admission in the M.B.A. course pursuant to the subsequent notice dated 09.10.2020. The petitioner had also issued a legal notice dated 15.01.2021 (Annexure P-3) to the respondent-university pursuant to which the respondent-university vide its communication dated 22.01.2021 (Annexure P-4) has given detailed reasons for not entertaining the petitioner's claim. The respondent-university has clearly stated

therein that the petitioner was given three opportunities on 09.10.2020, 20.10.2020 and 28.10.2020 to fill up the admission form for the purposes of consideration of his case for admission in the M.B.A. course, but the petitioner did not do so. The respondent-university having considered the aforesaid factual position and taken into consideration the fact that the petitioner has not filled up the form applying for consideration of his case for admission in the M.B.A. course has rejected his claim.

We have perused the order passed by the respondent-university as well as the contentions of the petitioner and we are of the considered opinion that the respondent-university has strictly followed the rule relating to admission and has rightly rejected the petitioner’s claim as the petitioner has not applied for seeking admission in the M.B.A. course pursuant to the notice dated 09.10.2020. As the petitioner has not applied for admission in the M.B.A. course pursuant to the said notice, the claim for consideration of his case for admission cannot and could not have been considered by the respondent-university and has rightly been rejected.

In the circumstances, we do not find any merit in the petition, which is accordingly dismissed.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

17.02.2021
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No