

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 4985 of 2021
Date of decision: 09.02.2021**

Vicky Masih

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 306 dated 08.12.2020, under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 ('Excise Act' - for short), registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that 40 bottles of illicit liquor were recovered from his house. He also contends that the petitioner was earlier involved in several cases, but he was acquitted in all of them except the one under the Excise Act wherein he was given the benefit of probation.

Heard.

The petitioner is stated to be involved in several other cases. He was also convicted in one of the cases under the Excise Act although he was given the benefit of probation. Therefore, I do not deem it to be a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner shall surrender before the trial Court and prefer an application for regular bail.

In the event of the petitioner surrendering before the trial Court and preferring an application for regular bail, the same shall be decided in accordance with law expeditiously.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

09.02.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No