

CR-172-2021

Date of Decision: April 22, 2021

Rajbala

.....Petitioner

versus

Chandan and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**Present: Mr. Pankaj Mehta, Advocate  
for petitionerMr. Subhash Goyal, Advocate  
for respondent No.3**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that so far as his client is concerned, the amount has already been deposited with the Tribunal. The Tribunal directed that the same be kept in fixed deposit for a period of three years and in case the petitioner is in dire need of money, the direction may be modified by this Court.

A perusal of the revision petition shows that the claim petition was filed on account of death of Rinku (one of the sons of the petitioner). The compensation has been awarded but the same has been kept in fixed deposit whereas the petitioner is in dire need of money for the welfare and upbringing of her daughter-respondent No.4 and for meeting the household expenses. There is no other earning member in the family. The petitioner is a widow and her major son passed away in the accident. From the impugned order, it appears that another son has also passed away meanwhile. Thus, she is entitled to release of the entire compensation along with the accrued interest thereupon till date.

The direction of the High Court in judgment dated 26.08.2019 is accordingly modified. The entire compensation along with interest thereupon be released to the petitioner forthwith.

Petition stands disposed of.

April 22, 2021

*Poonam Negi***[SUDHIR MITTAL]**  
**JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**