

Rajinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vibhu Singh, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard via video conference.

On 17.02.2021, the following order had been passed by this
court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner while inter-alia arguing that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and in fact the FIR has been registered on account of non-payment of `18 lacs, however, the petitioner has already disbursed 50% of the said amount to the complainant and the balance amount will be paid within a period of two months.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab appearing through the medium of video conferencing accepts notice on behalf of the respondent State and seeks time to file reply.

Ms. Sapna Khurana, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and admits to the factum of having received 50% of the total amount in dispute and submits that she would have no objection in case the interim bail is allowed to the petitioner as it would enable him to make the balance payment.

Adjourned to 28.05.2021.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of one week and on doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions incorporated

under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner, the complainant (respondent no.2), as also learned State counsel (as per his instructions), are *ad idem* that the matter has indeed been compromised between the parties, with learned counsel for the complainant submitting that the complainant has received the entire payment as was due to him.

In view of the above, the aforesaid order is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

September 16, 2021

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES

Whether Reportable : NO