

117-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-3366-2019 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 03.11.2021**

\*\*\*\*

Satpal and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Chander Kant Thakur, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab  
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)**

**CRM-36349-2021:**

Prayer in this application is for preponement of the date of hearing in the main case.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the date of hearing in the main case is preponed to today itself, for consideration.

Application stands disposed off.

**CRM-M-3366-2019:**

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in the cross-case bearing G.D. No.45, dated 30.10.2018, under Sections 323, 451, 379-B and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-1) in F.I.R

No.162, dated 30.10.2018, under Sections 323, 379-B and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-2), both registered at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, have prayed for quashing of the above G.D. No.45 with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide the Compromise Deed (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by both the parties. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dasuya, vide report dated 08.03.2019, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between both the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Ld. Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 also does not dispute the factum of compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dasuya, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondent No.2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. The cross-case bearing G.D. No.45, dated 30.10.2018, under Sections 323, 451, 379-B and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-1) in F.I.R No.162, dated

30.10.2018, under Sections 323, 379-B and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-2), both registered at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

**03.11.2021**

*Apurva*

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)**

**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No