

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRWP-988-2021
Decided on : 23.04.2021

Pardeep Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Vikram Preet Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is facing threats to his life and liberty at the hands of private respondents, who had illegally and forcibly taken the wheat from the wheat depot, which had been allotted to him in the year 2011. Learned counsel further submits that despite representation dated 20.11.2020 (Annexure P-1) having been made to the authorities concerned, the official respondents had failed to proceed against the accused under the provisions of law. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his representation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the

respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ropar, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 20.11.2020 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.*** 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

23.04.2021
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No