

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1127-2021(O&M)
Date of decision : 24.02.2021

Sanjay @ Sanjeet

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA***

Present: Mr.Ranbir Singh, Legal Aid Counsel
for the petitioner.

Ms.Shubhra Singh, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

(Proceeding through Video Conferencing)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.

CRM-W-260-2021

Prayer in this application is to place on record copy of judgment dated 28.05.2002 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak.

Application is allowed. Copy of judgment dated 28.05.2002 is taken on record as Annexure P-5.

CRWP-1127-2021

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for quashing of the order dated 19.06.2019 (Annexure P-1) vide which request of petitioner for releasing him on furlough for three weeks to enable him to perform necessary works at home and to meet his family stands declined on the ground that on verification of the facts it has come to fore that the family of petitioner is not residing at the address given by him.

finding recorded therein are correct as his wife Smt.Manju has now shifted to Delhi and is residing in house no.175, 176, Kotla Vihar, Phase I, Nangloi, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-43, for the last six years. He asserts this on the basis of certificate which has been given by the President, Welfare Association, Kotla Vihar, dated 10.07.2019 (Annexure P-2). Reference has also been made by counsel for petitioner to the certificates issued by G.R.M. Public School, Ranhola-Nangloi, Najafgarh Road, Akash Vihar, Delhi-41 dated 03.07.2019 (Annexures P-3 and P-4) which indicate that daughters of the petitioner namely Sarita and Savita are studying in the said school. He on this basis contends that petitioner at this stage would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to reconsider the claim of petitioner for his release on furlough for three weeks by taking into consideration the above address of his wife.

This contention of learned counsel for petitioner appears to be just and reasonable and therefore, we accept the said plea and direct the respondents to reconsider the claim of petitioner for grant of furlough for three weeks by verifying the abovesaid factual aspect(s) and take a decision within a period of four weeks from today. The decision so taken shall be conveyed to petitioner forthwith.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

February 24, 2021

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No