

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6410 of 2021  
Date of Decision:16.02.2021

Charanjeet Roy @ Raju ...Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Haryana ...Respondent

**CORAM:HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Saini, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Geol, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.(Oral)**

Present petitioner has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 123 dated 27.10.2019, under Sections 363, 365 and 366-A of IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Ram Nagar, Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that infact the victim had willingly accompanied the petitioner and left her house on 26.10.2019.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a married man who lured a minor and travelled with her to various places and during the time when the minor victim went missing and was in the company of the petitioner, she was continuously sexually assaulted multiple times which finds corroboration from the medical evidence as well. Not only this the minor victim was recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

Prima facie there are serious allegations against the petitioner of having continuously ravished the victim, for which he does not deserve the concession of bail. The present petition is hereby dismissed.

However, it is made clear that above observations may not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merit of the case.

**[MANJARI NEHRU KAUL]**  
**JUDGE**

February 16, 2021  
*rashmi*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | yes    |
| Whether reportable?       | yes/no |