

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Proceedings through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M No.3128 of 2020
Date of Decision: 24.08.2021

Neelam Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Vikram Preet Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.P. Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate,
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.07 dated 09.01.2020, registered under Sections 420, 408, 465, 468, 471 of IPC at Police Station City Khanna, District Ludhiana.

The operative part of the order dated 24.01.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“Counsel for the petitioner has argued that there are no specific allegations against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner was in service since 2012 and the petitioner has already given 04 cheques of Rs.50,000/- each regarding the short deposit in the bank, which already stands deposited in the account of the complainant-Bank.

Notice of motion for 30.03.2020.”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 24.01.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating

Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Major Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

Even otherwise, there is no allegation that over a period of about one and half year, the petitioner has misused the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 24.01.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

24.08.2021
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No