

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

Civil Writ Petition No.2743 of 2021

Date of Decision: February 8th, 2021

Jaggu

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. Yash Dev Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that the land of the father of the petitioner was acquired, which was 75% of the total land owned by him. It is asserted that the claim of the petitioner is covered by the policy instructions issued by the State of Haryana from time to time. The said claim of the petitioner has not been decided, which has been projected by the petitioner through representations dated 05.12.2019 (Annexure P-2) and 26.10.2020 (Annexure P-3). Counsel for the petitioner asserts that these representations are pending before the Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority-respondent No.2 (now HSVP). Copies of the same have also been sent to the Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad-respondent No.3.

Counsel thus contends that the petitioner at this stage would be satisfied if a direction is issued to these respondents No.2 and 3 to consider the claim of the petitioner and take a decision thereon within some specified time.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shubhra Singh,

Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Without going into the merits of the case and commenting thereon, the the present petition is disposed of with direction to the Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority (now Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran), Panchkula-respondent No.2 and Estate Officer, Haryana Urban Development Authority (now Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran), Faridabad-respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representations dated 05.12.2019 (Annexure P-2) and 26.10.2020 (Annexure P-3) within a period of six weeks.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits of allotment of plot(s) be passed within a further period of two weeks.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

February 8th, 2021
Puneet

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No