

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108+212

**CM-3010-CII-2021 in/and
FAO-65-2021 (O&M)**

Date of Decision : 18.03.2021

Jagjit Singh and others

..... Appellants

Versus

Surjit Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present : Mr. Hem Raj Kapil, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Surjit Singh Salar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

None for respondents No.2 to 14.

LISA GILL (Oral)

CM-3010-CII-2021

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to just exceptions.

FAO-65-2021

This appeal has been filed, challenging order dated 14.01.2021, passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Sangrur whereby application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC read with Order 41 Rule 5 CPC and Section 151 CPC for grant of injunction restraining respondent No.1 from taking possession of the disputed property and interfering in their peaceful possession thereon was dismissed.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 at this stage, on instructions from respondent No.1 (contesting respondent) submits that he has no objection in case interim relief is granted to the appellants regarding

maintenance of status quo regarding possession of the disputed property provided the appeal itself is decided in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the appellants does not raise any serious objection to expeditious disposal of the appeal.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, as above, as well as the specific stand of the parties, order dated 14.01.2021, passed by the learned District Judge Sangrur is set aside. Learned Addl. District Judge, Sangrur is directed to finally decide the appeal i.e. CA No.457-2019 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The parties shall maintain status quo regarding possession of the disputed property till then.

Appeal is accordingly disposed of.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

18.03.2021
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No