

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5016-2021

Date of Decision :- 15.2.2021

Harminder Singh alias Ramu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr.Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

This is a second petition for grant of pre-arrest bail filed by petitioner/accused Harminder Singh @ Ramu. His first petition bearing CRM-M-34108-2020 was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 28.10.2020. The order for ready reference is being reproduced as under:

“Case taken up through video conferencing.

After arguing for sufficient time, realizing that the Court was not inclined to grant the relief, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.”

Now the petitioner has again approached this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail. This petition is not maintainable. The Apex Court in a recent judgment **G.R. Ananda Babu Versus The State of Tamil Nadu & Anr.** Decided on 28.1.2021 has observed that successive anticipatory bail applications ought not be entertained and more so when the case diary and the status report clearly indicated that the accused is absconding and not co-operating with the investigation.

This petition is nothing but misuse of process of law.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner has expressed regrets and sought permission to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

15.2.2021

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE