

CRWP-800-2020 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(222)

CRWP-800-2020 (O&M).
Date of Decision:-01.12.2021.

Mandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. S.P. Soi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Respondent Nos.4, 6 and 7.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-1172-2021

Application is allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRWP-800-2020

This is a criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner at the hands of the respondents.

It has been averred in the petition that respondent No.3 is calling the petitioner time and again to the Police Station although the dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents is of a civil

CRWP-800-2020 (O&M)

nature and already an FIR on the basis of the said allegation is pending in which, the petitioner has been granted interim anticipatory bail and the petitioner has already joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State and for respondent Nos.4, 6 and 7, respectively have submitted that a perusal of the reply of the State would show that respondent No.6 had given a complaint dated 30.12.2019 against the petitioner for having extended threats to kill and abuses to respondent No.6, owing to the dispute of land between the parties and it is for the purpose of the said inquiry that Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kartarpur had summoned both the parties including the petitioner to join the investigation on 02.01.2020, 07.01.2020 and 18.02.2020 and the petitioner never appeared before the Inquiring Officer whereas, respondent No.6 appeared before the Inquiring Officer and got her statement recorded to the effect that she had approached the Civil Court and, thus, requested for withdrawal of her complaint and accordingly, the said application of respondent No.6 was sent to the record room and the transfer of the Senior Superintendent of Police was also taken place. It is further averred that no other inquiry against the petitioner is pending and, thus, the present petition deserves to be dismissed on the said ground alone.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances moreso, the reply filed by the State of Punjab, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 01, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No

Yes/No