

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4644-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.12.2021

Vikramjit Singh @ Vicky

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.200 dated 20.11.2019 under Section 420 IPC and Section 13 Punjab Travel Professional (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Kapurthala. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

On 08.07.2021, the following order was passed by this Court:

“.....As per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner had taken a total sum of Rs.3,30,000/- from the complainant on the promise to send the son of the complainant to Malaysia. Though the son of the complainant was sent to Malaysia but he was sent on wrong papers because of which he had to suffer incarceration for two and half months in Malaysia and thereafter he was deported back to India.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations are totally false. In fact, brother of the petitioner is settled in Malaysia. It is possible that the complainant may have had some dealings with brother of the petitioner, however that is not to the knowledge of the petitioner. The petitioner is a mason and is not involved in the business of sending people abroad. In order to prove his bonafide, the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- with the learned trial Court.

Adjourned to 22.09.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required.

This shall, however, be subject to the petitioner depositing a sum of Rs.50,000/- with the learned trial Court within a period of one week from today ”

The above order was not complied with and on 22.09.2021

more time was granted to the petitioner to join the investigation and to deposit the requisite amount before the trial Court.

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Baldev Singh, has stated that the petitioner has not joined the investigation till date.

Considering the above conduct, no ground is made out for grant of pre arrest bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

06.12.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No