

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(122)

CWP-2182-2021

Date of Decision: February 16, 2021

Vinod Kumar and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mohan Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the State of Haryana had issued a letter on 24.06.2019 (Annexure P-3) wherein, an option has been given to an employee to exercise an option to be transferred to the Department of Animal Husbandary and Dairying against the post of Animal Attendant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the petitioners have already exercised the said option but their case is not being considered by the respondents and that too without any valid justification whereas, the persons who were lower at merit than the petitioners and was appointed Peon in the Department of Revenue has already been transferred as Animal Attendant in the Department of Animal Husbandary and Dairying, Haryana.

The prayer of the petitioners is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for the change of their department and post them as Animal Attendant in the Department of Animal Husbandary and Dairying, Haryana.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, present in the Court, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Admittedly, no representation has been filed by the petitioners before the authorities concerned raising the grievance of non-consideration of their application Annexure P-6.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners be given liberty to file a representation raising the grievance as raised in the present writ petition before the authorities.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioners, the same will be processed expeditiously and will be decided within a period of six weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction that in case, the petitioners submit any representation, the respondents to whom the representation is addressed, will decide the same expeditiously within a period of six weeks from the receipt of the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

It is made clear that this Court expresses no opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition, at this stage.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

February 16, 2021
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No