

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2711-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 13.01.2021

Anita Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Raman K. Sharma, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
for Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
for respondent No.8.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed, *inter alia*, for restraining the respondents to further demolish the forty one registered vending carts under the Haryana Municipal Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending), Act, 2014; rehabilitate the respondents at the place already allotted; and to

pay them the compensation for illegal demolition.

Contends that representation dated 17.01.2020 (Annexure P-8) moved by the petitioners is pending consideration before the respondents. At this stage, he would be satisfied, in case, a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Chief Secretary, Haryana, Civil Secretariat, Sector 1, Chandigarh, to decide the representation dated 17.01.2020 (Annexure P-8) in a time-bound manner.

Heard.

Considering the nature of prayer made, we are not inclined to issue notice of motion. A complete copy of the paper-book has already been supplied to learned counsel for the respondents.

Accordingly, without adverting to the merits of the case, respondent No.1-Chief Secretary, Haryana, Civil Secretariat, Sector 1, Chandigarh, is directed to consider and decide representation dated 17.01.2020 (Annexure P-8) expeditiously, preferably, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, as per law. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed, within a period of six weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case, the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

13.01.2021
atulsethi

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No