

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 911 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION :- February 12, 2021

Sunaina and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Sunaina, aged about 25 years has got married with petitioner No.2 – Vinay Sharma, aged about 27 years against wishes of her family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 5, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition. It is further stated that the petitioners had submitted a written representation before respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Amritsar dated 27.01.2021 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P-5 without evoking any response so far.

Notice of motion.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of State of Punjab.

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2–

Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, to look into the representation Annexure

P-5, assess threat perception to the petitioners and if he finds that some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law. However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

February 12, 2021
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No