

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**CRM-M-4367-2021 (O&M)
Decided on : 23.09.2021**

SIMRANJIT SINGH AND ORS

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through video conferencing)**

PRESENT: Mr. Jashandeep Singh, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner(s).

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

CRM-30590-2021

The instant application has been filed for advancing the date of hearing in the main petition from 01.12.2021 to an early date of hearing.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.

Main case i.e., **CRM-M-4367-2021** is taken on board today itself.

CRM-M-4367-2021

The instant petition is filed under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of FIR No.418 dated 23.09.2020 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala on the basis of compromise dated 20.11.2020 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act has been filed by the parties wherein their first motion statements have been recorded and second motion statements are due to be recorded today before the Court concerned.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant does not oppose the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Vide order dated 02.02.2021 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 18.02.2021 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned JMIC Ambala, in pursuance to the direction of this Court. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned JMIC Ambala, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 23, 2021
S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>