

In virtual Court

CRM-M-2878-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2878-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2021

Raj Sharma @ Ranjit Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.59 dated 27.04.2019 under Sections 420, 120-B IPC (offence under Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional Regulation Act, 2012), registered at Police Station Ranjit Avenue, District Amritsar.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 29.01.2020: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that co-accused Manohar Lal i.e. father of the petitioner has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 09.09.2019 passed in CRM-M-26497-2019, as he handed over a demand draft of Rs.3.00 lacs favouring complainant Vivek Kumar. It is further submitted that the petitioner is also

ready to hand over a demand draft of Rs.1.00 lac favouring complainant Vivek Kumar.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Charanjit Singh, submits that the petitioner is in possession of original passport of the complainant and his mother and certificates regarding IELTS.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will hand over the aforesaid documents to the Investigating Officer...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation. It is further submitted that a period of about 01 year and 08 months has passed and there is no complaint against the petitioner that he has misused the concession of interim anticipatory bail. It is also submitted that the petitioner has also handed over a demand draft of Rs.1.00 lac favouring complainant Vivek Kumar, to the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 29.01.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

10.09.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No