

114.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4324-2021

Date of decision: 15.02.2021.

DULLA SINGH @ GURPREET SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.49 dated 25.05.2020 registered under Sections 306/34 of IPC at Police Station Dharamgarh, District Sangrur.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the co-accused Charanjit Kaur @ Amandeep Kaur has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 13.01.2021 passed in **CRM-M-675-2021** titled as **Charanjit Kaur alias Amandeep Kaur Versus State of Punjab**. Petitioner is in custody since 27.05.2020.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the custody and the fact that the co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court. However, he submits that since the petitioner had illicit relations with the co-accused Charanjit Kaur @ Amandeep Kaur, he compelled Kewal Singh (husband of

Charanjit Kaur @ Amandeep Kaur) to commit suicide. Therefore, he is not entitled for bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The allegations against the petitioner are similar to that of co-accused Amandeep Kaur who has already been admitted on regular bail by this Court. The petitioner is in custody since 27.05.2020. The role of the petitioner that he instigated husband of the co-accused for such an extreme step to commit suicide is to be established during the course of trial and the trial in the case will take sufficient long time. Thus, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

15.02.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.