

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-862-2021

Date of decision-29.01.2021

Manjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Suneet Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Through this writ petition, the petitioner is praying for a writ of mandamus by way of directions to the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect her life and liberty as she is being harassed by private respondent Nos.4 and 5. It has been further prayed that the official respondents be also restrained from interfering in the life of the petitioner.

Learned counsel has argued that the petitioner was married to respondent No.4 on 28.10.2020 and sufficient dowry was given to her in-laws. As per the pleadings, respondent Nos.4 and 5 used to harass and beat petitioner due to less dowry and even on 06.01.2021, respondent No.4 tried to strangle the petitioner. He further contends that on 22.01.2021, petitioner was thrown out of her matrimonial house and private respondents threatened the petitioner to falsely implicate her in criminal case. Learned counsel has invited the attention of the Court to the representation dated 22.01.2021 (Annexure P-1) submitted to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ambala and states that no action has been taken on her representation

so far, therefore, necessary directions be issued.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the petition is not substantiated with any convincing and supportive material, much less the medico legal report of the petitioner. The averments in the petition, being vague and lacks material particulars, therefore this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction, particularly when the alternative remedy in law is available with the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

29.01.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No