

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M No. 3240 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.09.2021

Surjit Singh Dhillon

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Gurmail Singh Duhan, Advocate and
Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

CRM-30878-2019

Prayer in the application is for adding Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, which were added in FIR No.294 dated 24.11.2018 vide DDR No.29 dated 12.09.2019, in the head note and prayer clause of the petition and in the order dated 24.01.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court.

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Registry is directed to make the necessary corrections, accordingly.

CRM-M-3240 of 2019

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 294 dated 24.11.2018, registered under Sections 406, 408, 201, 120-B IPC, and Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, added vide DDR No.29 dated 12.09.2019, at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Vide order dated 24.01.2019, passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, *ad interim* anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner. However, subsequently, new offences under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC were added vide DDR No.29 dated 12.09.2019. Vide order dated 04.10.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench, the arrest of the petitioner qua the newly added offences was stayed. Still later, vide order dated 27.02.2020, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation on 03.03.2020 at 10:00 a.m.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the aforesaid orders, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Kewal Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the order dated 24.01.2019, granting *ad-interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner shall also be deemed to have been granted for the offences under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, added later on vide DDR No.29 dated 12.09.2019. Accordingly, the order dated 24.01.2019 is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Allowed in the above terms.

09.09.2021

Rajeev (rvs)

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No