

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.120+210

**CM-1195-CII-2020 in/and
CR No.5054 of 2016
DATE OF DECISION: February 18, 2021**

JOGINDER SINGH THR. LRS SATNAM KAUR AND ORS.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

JAI NARAIN PARKASH THR. LRS NIRMAL JINDAL

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Arshit Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate,
for the respondents.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-1195-CII-2020 IN/AND CR-5054-2016 (O&M)

This application has been filed for dismissal of the revision petition on the ground of non-compliance of order dated 30.10.2018.

A perusal of the said order shows that after assessment of mesne profit, the non-applicants-tenant was granted four months time to deposit the arrears of rent with the Rent Controller. Since the same was not done, the present application was filed.

Notice of the application was issued on 17.01.2020.

CM-1195-CII-2020 IN/AND
CR-5054-2016 (O&M)

Learned counsel for the non-applicant-petitioners pleads no instructions. Thus, it is evident that the non-applicants-tenant has no intention of complying with the orders passed by this Court. Thus, the revision petition is taken on board and is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Any pending miscellaneous applications also stand disposed of.

February 18, 2021

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No