

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2039 of 2021 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 29.01.2021

Sunita Rani

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioner

..

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral):

Heard through video conferencing.

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-department to decide the representation/legal notice dated 06.08.2019 (Annexure P-7).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that respondent No.4, who is junior to the petitioner, is receiving a higher pay scale than the petitioner. In this regard, the petitioner has served a representation/legal notice dated 06.08.2019 (Annexure P-7) on which no decision has been taken till date. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further contended that he would be satisfied if the legal notice dated 06.08.2019 (Annexure P-7) is decided in accordance with law in a time-bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab has joined the session through video conferencing and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Since the matter is not being decided on merits, service on respondent No.4 is dispensed with.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation/legal notice dated 06.08.2019 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed off accordingly.

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

29.01.2021
parkash

NOTE:

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO