

234

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-817-2021

Date of decision-18.08.2021

RENU BALA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226/227 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No, 4 to 6, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

Reply by way of affidavit of Jasbir Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Fazilka, District Fazilka has been filed by learned State counsel, wherein petitioner No. 1 got recorded her statement (Annexure R-1/T) and stated that she and her husband do not apprehend any danger from respondent Nos. 4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statement of the petitioner No. 1, the present writ

petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

18.08.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Yes	No
Yes	No