

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-812-2021

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 3, 2021

KOMAL DEVI & ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: NONE FOR THE PETITIONERS.

KANWAR SANJIV KUMAR, AAG, HARYANA.

MR. YASEEN SETHI, ADVOCATE
FOR RESPONDENTS NO.4 AND 5.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

On 28.1.2021, learned State counsel sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Reply by way of affidavit of Sunil Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kalayat has been filed by learned State counsel wherein both the petitioners got recorded their respective statements (Annexure R-1 and R-2) on 8.2.2021 and stated that they do not apprehend any danger.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statements of petitioners, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

March 3, 2021
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No