

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

112

CRR(F)-24-2021 (O & M)

Date of Decision: January 29, 2021

MANJOT SINGH @MANI

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

VISHAKA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 12.03.2020 whereby interim maintenance of ₹7,000/- per month has been awarded to the respondent-wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is earning only a sum of ₹11,500/- and, therefore, interim maintenance is excessive.

Heard.

It was stated by the respondent in her application that the petitioner is a property dealer by profession. He owns a house at Hoshiarpur and is running a Bar. The petitioner in his affidavit has stated that his monthly income is ₹11500/-. It is also stated that two children are being maintained by the petitioner and he is incurring monthly expenses of ₹2,72,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it has been erroneously recorded in the order of the Family Court that these are monthly expenses while the same are the annual expenses.

Even if it is held that ₹2,72,000/- is the annual expenses which he is incurring for upkeep of the children, the income cannot by any stretch of imagination be said to be ₹11,500/-.

In these circumstances, the award of interim maintenance at the rate of ₹7,000/- per month cannot be faulted.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 29, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No