

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

123

CRM-M-3928-2021 (O & M)

Date of Decision: January 28, 2021

HARDEEP KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that husband of the petitioner, who is 72 years of age, has been implicated by the police in the instant FIR under the NDPS Act although he was present at his village a day prior to the incident. He has referred to the CCTV footage to indicate that the husband of the petitioner was present at his village at 5.00 pm on 19.12.2020. It is alleged in the FIR that recovery of poppy husk from the truck was effected at 7.00 am on 20.12.2020. He, therefore, contends that it would not be possible for the husband of the petitioner to have travelled to Rajasthan at night and come back to Khanna when the recovery had been effected. He has drawn my attention to the representation (Annexure P-7) sent to respondent No.3 in this regard but no action has been taken thereon. He is confining his prayer to the consideration of the representation (Annexure P-7).

Issue notice to the official respondents only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the representation (Annexure P-7) and take action, if necessary, in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 28, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No