

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3970 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.03.2021**

Naveen and others

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners seek grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.382 dated 04.12.2020 registered under Sections 399, 402 IPC (Section 25 of the Arms Act added later on) at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

The allegations are of making planning to commit decoity. The FIR was registered on the basis of secret information to the effect that 5-6 persons were planning to loot a petrol pump while sitting in a vacant shop. Those persons were

shown armed with sharp edged weapons and batons. Raid was conducted. Those persons were apprehended. Different police officials captured different accused persons in the process of their running from the spot. Recoveries have been effected from them.

Petitioners are in custody since 04.12.2020. Challan has been presented. Charges have not been framed so far.

Learned State counsel, however, opposed the bail on the ground that all the persons were arrested by the raiding party when the accused were planning to commit decoity at a petrol pump and recoveries have been effected from all of them.

Co-accused Monu, Ajay and Anoop @ Noopi have been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 21.01.2021 passed in CRM-M No.2206 of 2021. The case of the present petitioner cannot be distinguished from that of the co-accused.

In view of accusation, nature of offence and custody of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioners on regular bail without making any observation on merits of the case.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to their furnishing

adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

02.03.2021	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
Prince	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No