

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-3862-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-19.2.2021

Narinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Kainth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Baljit Singh.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.167 dated 24.12.2020 at Police Station Dehlon, District Ludhiana under Sections 306 and 34 of Indian Penal Code.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Sarabjit Kaur, wherein it has been alleged that her husband was running a shoe shop since the last 22-23 years. He had borrowed some money for his business. On 23.12.2020 at about 9:00 AM her husband while leaving for his shop, told her that Narinder Kaur,

Harjit Singh @ Mani, Laddi, Ramesh and one unknown persons were harassing him in connection with some monetary transactions and that he will disclose the details in the evening. It is alleged that in the afternoon the complainant's husband, however, committed suicide. It is further the case of prosecution that a suicide note was left by the deceased wherein he wrote that he was committing suicide as he had borrowed an amount of ₹2 lakhs from Narinder Kaur, Harjit Singh @ Mani but they have got recorded the amount of ₹6 lakhs in agreement. He further named Laddi, Ramesh and one unknown person to be the responsible.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that even if the FIR is taken to be correct still the petitioner cannot be attributed any overt act from which it could be said that she has abetted the commission of suicide. It has further been submitted that infact an agreement had been executed on stamp paper by the deceased in favour of the petitioner and in these circumstances the entire prosecution story would fall to ground.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and also in the suicide note, no case for grant of bail is made out.
5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case especially that the petitioner is named not only in the FIR but also in the suicide note, it is certainly a case where custodial interrogation would be required to unearth the truth. The petition is sans merit and is hereby dismissed.
7. It is, however, directed that in case the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court within a period of 10 days from today and applies for grant of regular

bail, the learned trial Court shall endeavour to dispose of the same expeditiously preferably within a period of one week from filing of such application.

19.2.2021

pankaj

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No