

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.224

CRM-M-4258-2021

Date of decision: 09.03.2021

Ranjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Santosh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.89 dated 31.08.2019 registered under Sections 22 (C) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short – the NDPS Act), at Police Station Rori, District Sirsa.

The petitioner is being prosecuted for having been found in illegal possession of 1300 tablets containing Tramadol Hydrochloride.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case; there is violation of Section 50 of the NDPS Act as no separate offer was made to the petitioner; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; the petitioner has been in custody since 31.08.2019 and that the petitioner's trial in which 08 prosecution witnesses still remain to be examined is likely to take a long time to conclude.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 1300 tablets containing Tramadol Hydrochloride.

The petitioner has been in custody since 31.08.2019; there is no other criminal case in which he is involved and that the petitioner's trial in which 08 prosecution witnesses still remain to be examined is likely to take a long time to conclude especially in the present circumstances when

the world is facing the Covid-19 pandemic.

Keeping in view the totality of the above facts, the present case is considered to be a fit one in which the petitioner be directed to be released on regular bail. Resultantly, subject to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Sirsa, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail.

It is clarified that the above observations have been made only for the limited purpose of deciding the present regular bail application and the same would not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 09, 2021
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No