

250

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-865-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.08.2021

Suman and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are apprehending threats at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8.

On 29.01.2021, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are receiving threats from respondent Nos.4 to 8 as they have entered into marriage on 22.01.2021 against the wishes of private respondents.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr.DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to forward the complete copy of the petition to the office of Advocate General Punjab through e-mail, during the course of the day.

Learned State counsel prays for time to verify the facts and the existence of alleged threat.

On request, adjourned to 08.03.2021.”

Today, learned State counsel has produced the joint statement

of the petitioners and stated that as on today, they are not facing any threat and do not need any protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that in view of the statements of petitioners, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

19.08.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes No
Yes No