

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CRWP-657-2020

Decided on : 03.09.2021

Arshdeep Kaur and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Neeraj Januha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P. S. Walia, Asstt. A. G., Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners.

On 20.01.2020, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following orders:

“In the instant criminal writ petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3, to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners, at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

Notice of motion for 16.03.2020.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply requisite number of copies of paper-book to learned State counsel during the course of the day, failing which this petition would entail dismissal for want of prosecution.

Respondents No. 4 and 5 be served through ordinary process, registered post and dasti as well, on furnishing processfee, requisite number of copies of paper-book, registered covers and incidental expenses, if any, within a period of two weeks' from today.

In the meanwhile, respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala, is directed to look into the matter and find out the existence of alleged threat perception to the lives and liberty of the petitioners. Respondent No. 2 shall also verify the antecedents of petitioners and residential address given by them and submit report on or before the date fixed.

In case of non-compliance of this order in its letter and spirit, this criminal writ petition would entail dismissal for want of prosecution. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have no apprehension of danger to their lives and liberty at present and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Keeping in view the above said facts and the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of, having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

03.09.2021

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No