

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CWP No.2188 of 2021
Date of decision: 09.02.2021

Guru Hargobind High School

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner-school raising the grievance that the four teachers, who were earlier working in the petitioner-school and whose services have now been terminated, are creating nuisance, which is effecting discipline as well as the working of the petitioner-school.

As per the allegations of the petitioner-school, the teachers, whose services have been terminated are using derogatory language against the management of the school by using loudspeaker. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner-school has already approached the police for the redressal of the said grievance and taking appropriate action against the said teachers for disturbing the studies of the students and creating nuisance by filing a representation dated 07.12.2020 (Annexure P/12) with the respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, District

Moga, which is still pending consideration with the said respondent and the

petitioner-school will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and states that the representation dated 07.12.2020 (Annexure P/12) addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga will be decided within a period of four weeks from today and in case, after the decision, it is found that any action needs to be taken, the same will also be taken without any further delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and, therefore, he does not press the present writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

The present writ petition stands disposed of having been not pressed keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel.

It is made clear that the respondents will be bound by their statement recorded hereinabove.

February 09, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No