

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2020-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.01.2021

Ramsharan and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr. Harshit Anand, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Raman K. Sharma, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 09.09.2020 (Annexure P-6) and representation dated 08.09.2020 (Annexure P-7), in a time-bound manner, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

Learned counsel states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, in case, respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 09.09.2020 (Annexure P-6) in a time-bound manner, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

Heard.

In view of the prayer made, we are not inclined to issue notice of motion. However, a complete copy of the paper-book has already been supplied to learned State counsel.

Accordingly, without advertng to the merits of the case, respondent No.3-Mining Officer, Kurukshetra, Office of District Industries Centre, Near Mini Secretariat, Kurukshetra, is directed to consider and decide representation dated 09.09.2020 (Annexure P-6), expeditiously, preferably, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, as per law, after affording an effective opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed, within a period of four weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

29.01.2021

atulsethi

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No