

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CRWP-722-2021

Date of Decision: December 02, 2021

Ranjan Kumar

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The petitioner had come up before this Court on 21.01.2021 seeking protection from the hands of respondents No.3 & 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has received no instructions in this matter.

Records of the case show that a reply had been filed by the State on 27.02.2021. Thereafter, learned counsel for the State has filed another reply on 26.11.2021. In para 2 of the preliminary submissions of the said reply, it has been submitted that the petitioner is involved in as many as six FIRs.

Since the reply raises disputed questions, as such these cannot be decided at this stage in the present petition. Further more, the petition was filed way back in January 2021. In case, there was any threat perception, the petitioner must have contacted his lawyer, but he did not do so.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed with the liberty to the petitioner that in case any threat perception arises in future, he can approach this Court.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

December 02, 2021

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No