

203-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 2476 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 09.09.2021

Sarbrinderjeet Singh Sandhu Neeraf

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.146 dated 15.09.2019, registered under Sections 307, 452, 506, 427, 148, 149 IPC and 25/27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar.

The operative part of the order dated 21.01.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....Precisely, the case has been registered on the basis of statement of Varinderpal Singh alleging that he is running a transport business. The petitioner alongwith his co-accused came to his house at about 11:45 p.m. Petitioner had fired from his pistol and other assailants were also armed with weapon.

It has been stated by learned counsel for the

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

petitioner that it is a case of no injury. Three co-accused have been granted the concession of prearrest bail by the Court of Sessions. Furthermore, at the earlier instance, an FIR No.07 dated 30.04.2017 under Section 409 IPC has been registered against the present complainant at the instance of Surinderpal Singh, who is one of the coaccused and has been granted concession of pre-arrest bail.

It has further been stated that Bhola Singh, co-accused has already been granted pre-arrest bail in CRM-M-1388-2020 vide order dated 15.1.2020.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 21.01.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant and on instructions from ASI Palwinder Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 21.01.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

09.09.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No