

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-635-2020

Date of decision :19.08.2021

Parveen Kaur & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

On 21.02.2020, the Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following order:-

“It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Parveen Kaur aged about 18 years(date of birth 21.4.2001 as per Adhar Card produced) has got married with petitioner No.2 Sukhdev Singh aged about 22 years (date of birth 6.8.1997 as per Adhar Card produced) against wishes of her family

members arrayed as private respondents No.4 to 8, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

Of course nobody can be allowed to take law into his hands and to indulge in illegal activities.

Notice of motion for 30.3.2020.

In the meanwhile, respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar is directed to ensure that no physical harm is caused to the petitioners at the hands of private respondents or persons claiming under them. However, this order is not to be taken as legalizing the alleged marriage between the petitioners and shall not save the petitioners from any criminal or civil action to which they may be found liable in view of the circumstances of the case.”

Learned State counsel has also stated that as per the reply, the petitioners are living happily together and the parents of the petitioners have no objection and respondent Nos.4 to 8 also have no objections to the said marriage.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are satisfied with the said reply.

Keeping in view, the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a representation before respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar in case of any apprehension in future and in case such a representation is moved then respondent No.2 is directed look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

19.08.2021
JITESH (JTS)

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No