

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
Sr.No.115 (2 cases)

Date of Decision: 27.01.2021

1) CWP-1796-2021 (O&M)

RAKESH KUMARPETITIONER
VS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

2) CWP-1800-2021 (O&M)

M/S JYOTI PARSHAD VINOD KUMARPETITIONER
VS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rana Gurtej Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Nikhil Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Both these petitions have been filed praying for extension to deposit the amount under Sabka Visvas (Legacy Disputes Resolution) Scheme, 2018. Since the issues involved are similar both the petitions are decided by the common order.

2. As per the admitted facts, the petitioners uploaded their proposal for settlement on the online portal on 11.01.2020. On 13.01.2020, on the same portal the respondents responded by

accepting the proposal. As per the said scheme, the acceptance

amount had to be deposited within 30 days which elapsed on 11.02.2020. However, no amount was deposited and now the present petitions have been filed praying that this was an inadvertent omission and seeking the mercy of this Court on the ground of the lockdown.

3. In our opinion, this argument is not available. As per the said scheme, the payment of the accepted amount had to be deposited within 30 days which expired more than a month prior to lockdown. The petitioners themselves were tech savvy enough to upload their proposal and it doesn't lie in their mouth to say that they were not aware that the proposal had been accepted.

4. Consequently, the petitions are dismissed.

5. Since the main case has been dismissed the pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands dismissed.

[AJAY TEWARI]
JUDGE

[RAJESH BHARDWAJ]
JUDGE

January 27, 2021
ashish

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No