

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1617 of 2021
Date of Decision: 02.09.2021**

Sunita Kumari and ors.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr.Nitesh Singla, Advocate for petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to step-up the pay of the petitioners at par with respondent No.3 along with all consequential benefits.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, has pointed out that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case their legal notice dated 16.09.2020 (P-7) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms.Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab, who is present through Video-Conference, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and innocuous prayer of the petitioners is not opposed by her.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (SE), Punjab, Phase 8, Mohali to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 16.09.2020 (P-7) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) months from today.

September 02, 2021
sanjay

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No