

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(112)

CWP-1666-2021

Date of Decision : April 30, 2021

Gulshan Arora and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the services, which the petitioners had rendered on ad-hoc basis prior to their regularization, needs to be taken into consideration for the grant of ACP benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the said benefit has already been extended to the similarly situated employees but not to the petitioners, which is not only arbitrary but is also discriminatory.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have already submitted detailed representations Annexures P-7 to P-9, which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection for deciding the representations of the petitioners in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that though the prayer of the petitioners is for the grant of ACP by taking into consideration the ad-hoc service rendered but in the representations Annexures P-7 to P-9, the request is for the grant of seniority for the ad-hoc service.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners will submit a fresh representation to the respondents authorities requesting for the grant of ACP.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any such representation is filed by the petitioners, the same will be decided within a period of three months of the receipt of the same by the appropriate authority by passing appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the undertaking given by the learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioners subsists and the petitioners do not wish to press this petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

April 30, 2021

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No