

218.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3443-2021

Date of decision: 28.01.2021

RANDHIR @ BUDDA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana
with ASI Naresh Kumar.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.48 dated 09.02.2020 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506 of IPC (Sections 148/148 IPC deleted lateron and Sections 307, 325, 34 of IPC added lateron) at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 23.10.2020 and the present is a case of version and cross-version. He further submits that though it has been alleged in the FIR that the petitioner gave *gandasi* blow straightway on the head of the complainant, but no such *gandasi* was ever recovered in the case.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the custody of the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Noticing the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 23.10.2020 and the present is a case of version and cross-version, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

28.01.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No