

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CRWP-673-2021

Date of decision: 25.02.2021

Nadeem Khan and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Multani, Asstt. A.G., Punjab
for the respondents No. 1 to 3-State.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner No.1, a Muslim and petitioner No.2, a Hindu by religion aged about 27 and 18 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 10 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

As per office report, respondent No. 4 is served through wife, respondent No. 5 is duly served personally, respondent No. 6 is served through husband, respondent No. 7 is served through sister and respondent No. 8 is duly served personally. However, none has appeared on their behalf.

Vide order dated 25.01.2021 respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar was directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 15.01.2021 (Annexure P-3) and to take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

Mr. Harinder Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Model Town Jalandhar has filed status report by way of his affidavit mentioning therein that the statements of the petitioners were got recorded and the petitioners have stated that they do not apprehend any danger to their lives from private respondents and they do not require any police protection. Statements of the private respondents were also recorded wherein they have stated that they will not interfere in the life of the petitioners and will not cause any harm to them. The petitioners have categorically stated that they do not want any action on their writ petition.

Mr. Yaseen Sethi, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondents No. 9 and 10 and filed his power of attorney which is taken on record. Learned Counsel for respondents No. 9 and 10 has submitted that they will not cause any interference to the petitioners and the apprehension of danger from them is not justified.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that now the petitioners have no apprehension of danger from respondents No. 4 to 10 and the petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to get the same restored in case of apprehension of any danger from respondents No. 4 to 10 in future.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty as aforesaid.

25.02.2021

kavneet singh

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No